Examiner-Initiated Intervi w Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/031,963	CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nancy Krawczyk.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4 and 6		·
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
•		
Steven & Mil.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to the changes in the examiner's amendment. With respect to the label "Replacement Sheet", examiner informed applicant's representative that the examiner would add the label "Replacement Sheet" to the drawing filed 10-18-04 and have that modified drawing scanned for the image file.